

Bibliography

Lebanese-produced magazine had been largely exchanged for Syrian stars of a different kind. Turning to the first page, an English-language editorial written by a member of the new all-Syrian team raved about the implications of *Star*'s transformation. 'Wow,' it began, 'a phone call which costs only 4 S.Y.P [Syrian Pounds] can cause all this?' Dedicated to 'introducing youth work,' the editorial spoke directly to its intended young Syrian reader: 'I know you'd probably think that we aren't good enough for this work, but ... we'll prove to you that we're worth your time.'

Figure 1: See <http://users.ox.ac.uk/~Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig1>

Star magazine began life in Lebanon during the summer of 2004. Produced by Integra Publishing and Marketing Solutions, the Lebanese subsidiary of Syrian-based conglomeration United Group, the magazine was packed with paparazzi snaps and gossip from Lebanon, the Arab world, and the West. Catering mostly to a young female readership, *Star* combined celebrity news, exclusives, gossip-based interviews, and fashion with film and TV reviews, games, and horoscopes in the mould of any Western celebrity magazine. In December the same year, the magazine was brought out in Syria with a new issue number and different adverts, but otherwise exactly the same content. However, 29 issues later, in July 2005, the Syrian magazine was transformed in a bid to reflect Syrian interests and values. The Lebanese content was dramatically trimmed down, and the focus was channelled towards Syrian actors and public figures. Other features concentrated on issues deemed important for young people such as preparing for interviews, making lifestyle choices, and pursuing relationships. The writers who contributed most of the Syrian-produced material were not high-flying paparazzi journalists, but young student amateurs brought in to form

Bibliography

Studies examining the role of mass media in shaping social perceptions in the Middle East have tended to focus on the consumption of widely-consumed media events, particularly dramatic serials (*musalsals*), and largely during the fasting month of Ramadan.⁸ But, as Salamandra notes, the fragmentation of audiences brought about by the rapid increase in accessible programming has rendered such ethnographies and analyses problematic at best. What is needed to trace developments in the media landscape of the Middle East is ethnography which looks instead at the processes of production.⁹ Such ethnographies are, to date, rare. It is acutely difficult to maintain regular contact with busy media professionals, let alone observe their practices at work. However, because *Star* magazine is largely produced by young amateur writers who are more willing to share their time than professionals, it offers a unique opportunity to engage with the process of production and gain an otherwise unattainable insight.¹⁰ Moreover, the ethnographic element of this thesis, which is developed particularly in chapter 4, provides an occasion to revisit and question the theoretical assumptions of the neo-Marxist Frankfurt School. A study which details the interactions and experiences of young amateurs involved in producing a popular-culture magazine they might themselves consume can vividly highlight the flaws of an analysis which posits that popular culture is mobilized by a small clique of managers who aim to dupe the masses into passive consumption.

⁸ Salamandra, 2004, 1998; Abu-Lughod, 2004; Seymour, 1999; Armbrust, 1996

⁹ 2005: 8

¹⁰ I must, of course, point out the obvious obstacles to my producing an in-depth ethnography. Limited time, my deficiencies in Syrian colloquial Arabic, and my restricted cultural literacy in Syrian public life all pose problems for the ethnographic insights I propose. Nevertheless, I attempt to posit measured conclusions with these qualifications in mind.

Bibliography

today. In a keynote speech given at Damascus University in November 2005 he spoke of mobilizing Syria's young to take advantage of new media for the Syrian national benefit:

‘The world is moving very fast. And there are challenges produced by these changes. There have been new opportunities for achievement. Since at the heart of these changes lie information, communications and the digital revolution, we have all to work in order to integrate young people into this information age and to provide the integration mechanisms in all our institutions, ... cultural and media structures...’¹⁶

His message, it seems, is being heard by those media professionals dedicated to widening the scope of domestic media.¹⁷ But, as will be argued in this thesis, this development is small and highly controlled by the government. The range of publications to be established in recent years is miniscule by comparison to other periods and places experiencing genuine media freedom. The new media – the internet and satellite television – pose a tangible threat to the state's control of citizens' access to symbols, products and information, as is attested by Bashar Al-Asad's accusations against the 'Arabic language media ... which adopt the causes of the enemies and the opponents' of Syria and wage a 'huge media campaign' to inflict 'moral defeat' on Syria. The threat has been met with three strategies: first, the expansion of Syrian-produced output through these new media; second, the controlled development of domestically-produced media; and third, the continued censorship and scrutiny of domestic publications.

¹⁶ <http://www.cggl.org/scripts/document.asp?id=46245> consulted 2 May 2006

¹⁷ It is widely claimed that this development in Syria's domestic media is a direct result of reforms brought by Bashar Al-Asad. For example, Jouhaina's owner and chief editor, Fadia Jabril, commented in an interview with me 13 December 2005 that an official in government encouraged her to set up a magazine that tackles controversial social issues, explaining that the regime's change in approach has taken place because the 'new President is young and has an open-mind and is keen to reach to the whole world to understand 'us.'" This was a view repeated to me time and again, not least by Ahed Abuzeid, a government official I spoke to at the Wazarat Al-'Illam, the ministry responsible for media.

Bibliography

overseeing this development, the growth of advertising and private capital does pose a number of dilemmas for it. In what follows, I will lay out four broad dilemmas which present themselves to the Syrian regime as it seeks to widen advertising space. I will do this by setting commercial developments in their immediate historical and sociological contexts and by comparing the historical legacy of Ba`thist visions of society with the logic of the capitalist imaginary. I will seek to show that theoretically the two visions are incompatible and antagonistic. Subsequently, I will seek to show how the regime intends to resolve these concerns.

The Needs of Corporate Capital versus the National-Regime Imaginary

Studies of consumption and advertising in the Middle East have stressed that the state and private-corporate world can work in partnership towards the goal of building patterns of consumption around national events.⁵⁵ State-managed Ramadan television in Egypt, for example, has long succeeded in ‘bundling commercial and state ideologies with entertainment’ in ways which go unnoticed by the audience.⁵⁶ Elsewhere, Neo-Marxist theorists have maintained that the state and private capital can function ideologically in concert because they present two sides of the same structural equation: bourgeois capitalist control over the means of production.⁵⁷ Yet, in Syria, the logic of unheeded capitalism and of the regime’s vision of society continues to grate with profound effects. This dynamic is particularly true of the potentially conflicting ways in which the regime and corporate capital imagine the

⁵⁵ Armbrust, in Meyer and Moors eds., 2006

⁵⁶ Ibid: 220

⁵⁷ Gramsci, 1971: 182; Althusser, 2001: 97

Bibliography

behaviour.⁸⁰ It is according to these guidelines generated by the politics of *as if* that atomized citizens regulate their own public behaviour, though there may also be intervening state officials who punish particularly outlandish behaviour and dissent.⁸¹ Social anxiety and feelings of isolation can only be properly countered in private. If Wedeen's theory correctly interprets the power of symbolic production and the cult of Hafiz Al-Asad in the persistence of authoritarian rule in Syria over the last thirty years, it also suggests that mechanisms of authoritarian control through symbols are incompatible with the capitalist imaginary. How can the consumer learn to conform socially by relieving social anxieties and desires through consumption if the overwhelming state-generated mechanism of public display is atomizing and discourages acting upon social anxieties? Indeed, how can consumption patterns – which depend on consumers perceiving themselves to voluntarily choose certain products because they are glamorous, youthful, or sexy – make any sense in a political environment where patently absurd symbols are geared to reinforcing citizens' acknowledgement of involuntary obedience, as Wedeen's theory suggests?

Moreover, in the private-corporate vision, professionals use their experience and education as indexes of social capital. Upward mobility hinges on professionals mobilizing their experience as social capital towards furthering professional goals and responsibilities, a dynamic which underpins concepts of meritocracy. Notions of meritocracy are theoretically, if not practically, gaining ground in Syria today, as features in *Star* magazine which validate effort and ability – *Real Star* and *Sport Star* – suggest. Of course, the notion of meritocracy can be seen as a legitimizing ideology for the reproduction of middle- and lower-class divisions. Yet, it powerfully

⁸⁰ Ibid: 6

⁸¹ Ibid: see the story of M and the high ranking officer, p. 67

Bibliography

purposefully designated for commercial symbols to compete in. This space is a threat to the regime's control of meaning, to its management of the popular inventory of symbols, and to its role in shaping public life. Yet, as has been argued, the regime needs and in fact wants commerce to grow to increase its revenues and cement its power.⁸⁹ So a resolution is needed – some kind of mechanism in the symbolic field which can both constrain the commercial space opening up in Syria and align it firmly within the regime's orbit.

Just as space is opening for brands to compete, so the regime is concurrently part-privatizing its symbolic production. This development is diffuse, and should not be seen as overtly state-orchestrated at every turn. Rather, although its outlines are managed by the regime, its detail and dissemination are effected by a range of non-state actors in concert with the government. The mechanism works on three levels: firstly, it mediates alignments between the private-corporate world and the regime; secondly, it influences choices over the production of goods; and thirdly, it merges the boundaries between private-corporate and regime-national symbols within Syria. Although it is beyond the scope of this thesis, it is possible to claim that these techniques have been widely developed for some time in Egypt. If so, it may be that Syrian and Egyptian styles of authoritarianism are converging.

Alignments between the Corporate Realm and the Regime

⁸⁹ In addition to falling oil rents, the loss of a superpower patron in the USSR, and failing state-run industries (all widely cited as reasons for Syrian economic difficulties), there may well be another grave economic challenge facing the Syrian regime with its withdrawal from Lebanon. As Perthes (1997: 18) notes Lebanon has proved an invaluable complement to the Syrian economy over the last decade, providing crucial access to finance, services and the global market, whilst reducing the need for problematic economic liberalization within Syria.

Bibliography

Towards the back of issue 52 is what appears to be an unusual advert (Figure 4; see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig4>). On closer inspection, it becomes clear that this is not an advert at all – there is no product on sale here, no prominent brand to convey – rather this is a political statement of *United Group*'s support for the regime. It is beyond the scope of this thesis to discuss the developments and implications for Syrian politics associated with either the death of Rafik al-Hariri, the former Lebanese Prime Minister and business tycoon of the Middle East, or the U.N. commission which led the investigation into his death and pointed a finger of suspicion at the Syrian regime. Certainly, the period, which is far from complete, has seen a growing chorus of international political pressure mount on the regime, and on Bashar al-Asad in particular, all of which is worthy of comment. But what I hope to do is use this period of political pressure to understand the shifting implications for symbolic production, for the commercial space I have identified, and for the regime's efforts to navigate some of the challenges of encouraging economic liberalization whilst maintaining authoritarian rule. These challenges are deeper rooted than the current political crisis, and will very probably outlast it. Yet, through this period of political crisis, some of the fault-lines of these challenges emerge more clearly than ever. Thus, I propose to analyze symbolic production in *Star* and elsewhere during the period without detailed analysis of the political crisis itself. In short, I am arguing that the kinds of material I analyze are not just a response to short term political pressures, but also to longer term dilemmas created by economic liberalization in an authoritarian world.

The page displays five Syrian faces, three women and two men. They are young (probably in their mid- to late-twenties) and visibly middle class (there are no

Bibliography

models, and indeed, acts that part within *Star* herself. The crucial point of divergence between Ahmed and Carole is precisely who can and cannot be an appropriate role model. As we will see, this contest has crucial implications for understandings of Syrian modernity, and cuts to the very core of the competition over social values, visions of national progress, and interpretations of Syria's youth.

Ahmed and Carole do, in fact, agree on a number of things. They are both enthusiastic about the nationalist modernist narrative of twentieth century Syrian history, as their equal pride for *Star Zaman* shows. This page, which traces the modern history of institutional and technological developments in Syria, was introduced as a regular feature by Carole, yet even Ahmed cites its presence as a reason for him remaining at the magazine. This modernist bent inflects their view that *Star* should be a secular magazine. Indeed, they both prize high cultural ideals, and believe firmly in the value of education. Where they differ is over the role that patriarchal norms should play in social life and in governing the kinds of mediated representations of young people magazines like *Star* can print. Carole, as a female professional authority figure, who doubles up as a social mentor for her team of young journalists, seeks to insert herself into the lives of the *Star* writers and, to some extent, replace parental authority. Ahmed contests this. He views parents as the ultimate arbiters over the proper social behaviour of their children, and rejects the claim Carole makes to impose herself irrespective of her managerial role.

This much became obvious at a meeting I attended in December in which Carole instigated a discussion about parental roles.¹⁹³ There were twelve writers

¹⁹³ 13 December 2005

Bibliography

present, though some came late and others left early. Due to college exams, the writers were not contributing as much to the magazine as at other points of the year. Instead, Carole was publishing pieces from a reserve of articles she had built up over a period of months, as well as contributing more content herself. Because of this, the meeting only briefly touched on the actual magazine. Instead, Carole used the time to conduct a debate. Initially she part-elicited, part-delivered the agenda, asking ‘What would you like to talk about today *shabaab*?’ and writing bullet points on the board.

Figure 15 (See <http://users.ox.ac.uk/~7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig15>): *Carole’s bullet points written on the white board to spark a discussion on young people and parental roles during a meeting with the Star team. It reads:*

‘Old and dying Customs: The differences between expectation and young people; Society’s view of relationships between guys and girls; Free time (or when you have nothing to do).’

At first, the writers seemed to lack interest and enthusiasm in the discussion, but as more of them offered opinions, so the interaction gathered momentum. Despite the active involvement of all present, the meeting nevertheless revolved around Carole who prodded the writers with questions, offered ideas for discussion and consistently gave feedback, most of which was affirmative, to the opinions being expressed. With the discussion in full swing, one of the female writers, sitting next to Ahmed, spoke up to tell a story about her hair.¹⁹⁴ As an adolescent she had had long hair that reached down to her legs, but she grew tired of it. So she sought the consent of her parents to have it cut. When her father refused to consent to this, she went ahead with the haircut anyway, prioritizing her own wants over the risk of incurring her father’s wrath. When she arrived home that day from the hairdressers, her father took one look and said, ‘you’ve just lost your future!’ This story elicited one of the loudest

¹⁹⁴ Due to my deficiencies in Damascene Arabic, some of the details of this exchange were provided by Ahmed as we caught a taxi across town immediately after the meeting. Nevertheless, I made detailed notes on how speakers presented themselves, the responses Carole and the team gave to them, and the gist of the discussion.

Bibliography

bursts of laughter at the meeting. With renewed confidence she told of how she had upset her parents by coming to the *Star* meeting that evening in her new pink pyjamas for the first time. In response to this, Ahmed tried to argue that parents are wiser than young people and should be respected accordingly. Whereas young people *think* they know what is right, parents *know* what is right. He gave the example of his decision to grow his hair long against the wishes of his parents. After a year or two he realised they had been right all along and had it cut, although he admits that his current beard is not to the liking of his parents. Carole met Ahmed's defence of parental authority (and in particular patriarchal authority) with disdain. She contested his views and mobilized the gathering consensus amongst the *Star* writers against Ahmed's position. Clearly, Carole felt her authority and her message of encouragement for youth empowerment within the family to be under threat. Thus, Ahmed received a swift rebuke from the girl in the pink pyjamas who insistently asked, 'Why is that true? Why is that true?' (*Leish Sah?*).

Under Carole's supervision, the young female writer was not only able to tell her story openly in public, but elicit the most telling sign of peer approval: a laugh. Studies of authoritarian regimes have pointed to the significance of jokes in reminding those who tell and share them of their shared acknowledgment of involuntary obedience to authority over them.¹⁹⁵ The hair story – essentially poking fun at parental authority – and the approving peer response point to a shared acknowledgment amongst the *Star* writers of unwanted parental intrusion. Not only by telling the story, but also by appearing at the meeting wearing her new pink pyjamas, this *Star* writer was actively contesting parental norms. In providing her this

¹⁹⁵ Wedeen, 1999: 120-129

Bibliography

opportunity, the meeting constitutes a challenge to patriarchal norms and parental control. Ahmed is active in opposing this view from within the group. He believes that parents are right *because* they are parents. It would be inaccurate to claim that Ahmed respects all forms of authority at all times; he openly opposes Carole and he even behaves in ways his parents disapprove of.¹⁹⁶ But he does strongly believe in the moral scrutiny of parents and their right to set out moral guidelines for him to follow. His view sees the family as the correct framework for socialization and morality right into early adulthood – indeed up until a *shaab* has married and started a family of his own.

Ahmed's alienation from the group of *Star* writers is only partly due to his steadfast faith in the family over other institutions of social control. It is also partly to do with his conservative views on representations of women. On balance, Ahmed believes that *Star* magazine is bad for Syrian youth. He has set himself up to defend patriarchal values from within the magazine. As he puts it, he does not want to change the team; he wants to change the topics in the magazine. But 'alas, young people want to read this stuff,' he laments, complaining that the appeal of the magazine is misguided. Underlying this view is the idea that inevitably a youth magazine should be, indeed can only be, functionally didactic. Media representations constitute a zero-sum game; if *Star* encourages the wrong kinds of behaviour, there needs to be another option correcting those wrongs. In Ahmed's view, *Star* without him would mark the unabated decline of high moral values in Syrian society. As he says, young people 'should concentrate on redirecting themselves,' rather than

¹⁹⁶ Ahmed told me a story he has also told the *Star* team about an occasion when he was caught by University prefects taking photos of the class. This was deemed unacceptable behaviour. But Ahmed openly confesses he has no respect for the prefects and would challenge them again. He has also challenged his parents' authority by growing a beard and long hair.

Bibliography

reading this magazine. But what is it precisely that Ahmed finds morally problematic about *Star*?

In the Middle East, displays of women's bodies often function as the site of social contests over morality and control.¹⁹⁷ Ahmed deems many of the representations of women in the magazine as 'slutty.' There are two implications of this view, as Graham Brown argues: first, representations of women as 'sexual objects and symbols of wealth' are often objected to on the basis that they appear to display women 'escaping male control over their conformity to the norms of 'good behaviour;''¹⁹⁸ second, fears are expressed over the corrupting repercussions of such images in which 'other, less privileged women could be 'led astray' by aspiring to such lifestyles.'¹⁹⁹ Although the idea that changing norms of women's representation lead directly to substantive changes in social relations remains largely unfounded in the Middle East,²⁰⁰ Ahmed nevertheless has very little social experience of such images in *Syrian print* and he is therefore, as he puts it, 'shocked' by them.

During our discussion in Inhouse, he gave me some indication of which images he finds most offensive by ranking a series of photographs within a single issue on a scale of one (acceptable) to ten (totally unacceptable) (Figure 16; see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig16>).²⁰¹ He found the front cover image of a Syrian actress, Laila Al-Atrash, perfectly acceptable even though she

¹⁹⁷ Graham Brown, 1988: 239, Armbrust, 1999: 107

¹⁹⁸ Graham Brown, 1988: 247. Though, as she points out, in practice these representations are the product of male control just as much as in most other domains. Take, for example, the number of male directors, producers, and camera-men who control the whole production of Arab video clips in which women star.

¹⁹⁹ Ibid

²⁰⁰ Ibid: 245

²⁰¹ *Star*, issue 45, 15-22 October 2005

Bibliography

is captured from above revealing her bra strap. Perhaps the high-culture role assigned to her by the magazine as youthful national icon, and the fact that her image does not overtly attempt to arouse the reader explain his view. But, he was not ‘100 percent’ happy with the picture of the *Star* team in which young female colleagues can be seen wearing light, and revealing, summer clothing. Next, he ranked cat-walk images of Kylie Minogue, Elizabeth Hurley and Hilary Duff six out of ten.²⁰² Here the formality of both dress and occasion appear to lessen the impact of open shoulders and cleavage. He ranked the explicitly sexual image of Jessica Simpson perched provocatively on the Dukes of Hazard car in short skirt eight out of ten.²⁰³ But he reserved his greatest ire for any image of Haifa Wahabi, the Lebanese singer and presenter of the Reality-TV show, *Al-Waadi*, ranked ten purely because of her sullied reputation as he saw it.²⁰⁴ ‘We guys hate her,’ he told me, because ‘she acts like a slut.’

Ahmed’s feelings have on occasions bubbled to the surface in *Star* team meetings. He described to me how, during a meeting, he argued with Carole over the appropriateness of the images *Star* publishes. She said that *Star* does not publish ‘bad photos’ of women, and asked him to show her any such image from the magazine. Ahmed duly found a photo which he found offensive showing a Western actress revealing a healthy amount of cleavage and flesh (Figure 16; see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig16>).²⁰⁵ Unsurprisingly, the picture appears in *Duddly’s Column*, a Lebanese feature in English at the heart of *Star News*. At this point Carole accused Ahmed of feeling this way because he only

²⁰² Ibid: Minogue appears on p21, the other Hurley and Duff on p67

²⁰³ Ibid: p82

²⁰⁴ Ibid: pp32-33

²⁰⁵ Issue 47, 29 October-1 November 2005, p21

Bibliography

ever sees his mother and sister covered by the hijab. This, according to Ahmed, was not only factually incorrect – none of his three sisters wears the hijab – but also patronizing. He rebuked Carole, arguing that he and Aladdin ‘don’t live in a shell, we see, we know what is acceptable and what is not ... we can’t just leave behind what we learnt.’²⁰⁶

What Ahmed has learnt is not simply a religious ethic, but an emotional belief in the importance of female modesty to underscore male honour. This social belief, as the literature on patriarchy in the Middle East often argues, cuts to the heart of family structure and social control.²⁰⁷ During our conversation, Ahmed expounded his opinions on female modesty arguing that it is shameful for a girl to lose her virginity before marriage. If this happens, as he put it, ‘her future collapses.’ He went on to outline the legal-national grounding for this view, explaining that Shari`a personal law condones honour killings where male family members murder a female relative who is sexually promiscuous. Ahmed fears the magazine because he feels it seeks to undermine his views, views which he regards not only as vital to the moral health of Syrian society, but deeply ingrained in Syria’s religious and cultural landscape:

‘It’s hard to reform a whole current that’s been flowing for 14 hundred years – a tough job for a magazine like *Star*. It’s a big thing to change, we can’t change. To force youth to ... dump their religion and everything they used to know, it’s like changing the UK into a Republic.’

Of *Star*’s content, it is *Star Zaman* that Ahmed points to as appropriate material for Syria’s young generation (Figure 17; see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig17>). The page, which presents a morally unambiguous snapshot of Syria’s modern history, offers young

²⁰⁶ He related this to me during our interview in Inhouse Coffee, 10 December 2005

²⁰⁷ Bourdieu, in J.G. Peristiany ed., 1965: 223-224

Bibliography

Syrians the right kind of educational supplement. According to Ahmed, the feature's didactic message is clear: 'you youth, see what you used to have and try to stimulate it'. At our meeting in Inhouse, Ahmed went on to tell me a family story which for him only confirms the message brought out by *Star Zaman* that older generations were more morally upstanding in their defence of the national cause. Apparently, whilst touring the country during mandate occupation, his grandfather was caught by the French and offered a small fortune to work for them. He refused and fought on until his untimely death in prison. As Ahmed puts it, the moral of the story is that his grandfather did not 'pay attention to seductions given to him.' This is a lesson Ahmed would like to promote amongst today's youth who are now 'irresponsible' and 'looking for less valuable things than they used to.' Indeed, it is a lesson he considers almost totally lacking in *Star*. Hence, his confession that he would love to work for one of the other emerging publications in Syria – Shabablek or Al Ghuerbal – which are completely divested of any of the 'slutty' images Ahmed detests.

Ahmed's story is significant not just because it shows how *Star* is unsettling patriarchal values, but because it points to the common perception that youth magazines necessarily seek to condition young people socially and morally. This is, in part, due to the long legacy of tight government censorship in Syrian print media and the concomitant association of print with state- and nation-building. But it is also specific to the agenda and outlook of *Star* itself. For example, all of the interview-based articles – *Star interview*, *Sport Star*, *Real Star* – include a question, most often positioned as a kind of moral conclusion, which asks 'stars' what they want to say personally to *Star* and Syria's young people. Most complement *Star* on its cultural mission and call on Syrian youth to have confidence for the future. Moreover, Carole

Bibliography

actively promotes the magazine's didactic role in giving the youth 'information' in a 'light way.'²⁰⁸ 'Come on guys, see the world!' she says, rallying Syrian youth to *Star*'s self-appointed role.²⁰⁹ Ahmed interprets her aim as feeding young people 'the facts covered with junk food,' and he argues that the magazine was formed to 'restructure how youth think.' What Ahmed's position demonstrates, in other words, is *Star*'s attempt to replace patriarchy with an emerging kind of privatized corporatism. By selectively employing the vocabulary of Western celebrity fantasy – representations of women (and men) as apparently free to express themselves through dress – *Star* magazine offers a set of guidelines with which young Syrians can locate the proper path to modernity. Thus, through its content and dynamics of production, the magazine seeks to replace patriarchal and parental roles with a different authority structure based on a secular discourse of consumption and professionalism. I will first describe some of the ways in which a privatized corporatist consensus is achieved within the staff, and will then outline the contours of the magazine's corporatist discourse.

Building the Corporatist Consensus: Yousef and Carole See Eye to Eye

Building a consensus amongst the *Star* writers is a crucial task for Carole, not least because it ensures the viability and integrity of *Star*'s didactic mission and discourages both conservative dissent regarded as backward and liberal behaviour deemed rebellious. The fact that the only explicit threat to this consensus emanates

²⁰⁸ Interview 8 December 2005

²⁰⁹ Ibid

Bibliography

from Ahmed's conservatism indicates that *Star* does not actually intend to grant social capital to unruly youthful behaviour, despite its part use of the vocabulary of 'freedom.' In other words, the message is not that sex, drugs, and rock and roll are cool. Rather, the message is for young people to consume, to interact, and to pursue careers in ways which their parents may find uncomfortable at first, but which ultimately lead to a better, brighter Syria in which professional success and cultural confidence are the norm. Perhaps the writer most solidly bound into Carole's consensus is Yousef.

Despite seeing Yousef on two occasions at *Star* meetings during the summer 2005, it was not until December on my second study visit that I actually had the opportunity to meet him.²¹⁰ Following a Tuesday meeting I attended, I invited as many writers as wanted to join me for a coffee the following Thursday to discuss their role in the magazine. Most received my invitation positively, but Yousef was particularly keen, and even suggested a location: Inhouse Coffee in Mezze. When he arrived, I was already there chatting with two younger members of the team, Ghalia and Lina. They soon scuttled away, as the more confident and affable Yousef, a 20-year old Business Administration student at Damascus University (DU) of Muslim background who joined the team initially as the guitar player for a promotional event, commanded a deeper knowledge of the magazine and showed a greater willingness to talk. He was accompanied by Dina, a female friend from the meeting whom Yousef had only just introduced to the magazine. Dina, 22 years old and studying English Literature at DU, was extremely self-assured and effusive, and proved herself very comfortable speaking in English, so we settled on my language, not theirs. We were

²¹⁰ 15 December 2005

Bibliography

soon joined by Mona, Dina's older sister, who, though long involved in *Star*, was much less out-going than the others. I directed the conversation, asking questions and writing notes as we discussed, but I tried to give them space to express themselves.

Having heard Carole's endorsement of social experimentation two days before in which she urged her writers to try everything up to drugs, Yousef seemed in particularly confident mood to challenge parental constraints. 'We should try everything to have an experience in life,' he said, since 'a person doesn't know [what's right and wrong] before trying.' When I asked what exactly he meant by 'experience' he mentioned parties and 'living on the edge.' This sounded more like the sex, drugs, and rock and roll of Western rebellious youth culture, but his views were more complex than this. When we considered the value of meetings, Yousef spoke positively of Carole who apparently allows them to voice their opinions freely. But the extent to which meetings involve consensus building and peer conformity around Carole's ideological axis soon became apparent. Yousef said that *Star* writers share essentially the same set of opinions because they all want to be free. Their determination to judge right and wrong for themselves, according to Yousef's account, hinged on Carole who 'knows a lot about life' and is therefore in a position to determine 'how we should think and what's right and what's wrong.' Individuality is subsumed by the consensus Carole, as social peer *and* mentor, is able to construct so that arriving at an independent judgement depends on either Carole's endorsement or peer consent at *Star* meetings, a process which encourages the affirmation of bourgeois feelings of class superiority. Thus, Yousef and Dina talked at length about the Syrian class system in which the educated middle classes are the bringers of modernity and freedom. The educated middle classes who 'want their children to be

Bibliography

free, to do what they believe in' are wedged between, on the one hand, the backward lower classes 'stuck with old traditions' which 'we should delete, erase from our lives,' where parents 'choose the future partner of their son' and 'plant stuff in his mind' so that 'he will be choked,' and, on the other hand, the rich society of the upper classes who 'talk about nothing' except 'having two cars.' The point here is not to claim that Western rebellious youth culture, in contrast to Syrian youth culture in *Star*, prioritizes individuality over conformity, which is patently not the case. Rather, the extent to which *Star*'s corporatism encourages rebellion through social experimentation has set limits; *Star* seeks to encourage young middle class Syrians to challenge patriarchal authority, but patriarchy itself can be stigmatized, in this case, as a set of backward social values located in the lower classes. In other words, *Star* rarely, if ever, encourages young middle class Syrians to challenge middle class authority figures.

Ahmed, then, as a middle class male sharing so many of the codes of a middle class consumption lifestyle – his dress, his accessories, his interest in basket ball – emerges as a particularly sharp thorn in Carole's side. He is the anomaly in the group: the one who openly affirms the formulation of patriarchal values which *Star* seeks to relegate from its pages and its writers' social outlook. Ahmed, therefore, has to be sidelined. This helps to explain both why Ahmed is so isolated in *Star* meetings and why Carole and Yousef were so severe in their criticism of him. Thus, for Carole, Ahmed is an 'extremist' who I should not have sought as a representative *Star* writer, whilst for Yousef, Ahmed is 'a very old fashioned guy' who apparently thinks his mother will find him his future wife. That Ahmed explicitly told me during our earlier meeting that he would find his own partner in life, ask her to marry him

Bibliography

directly (rather than asking her father first), and also make no obligation on her to wear the hijab implies that the impression of Ahmed as a fanatical defender of outdated values has been exaggerated within the *Star* team. Indeed, on many relevant issues, he in fact shares in the Carole consensus (though he rarely would admit this). For example, when I asked Carole about notions of love and sex in Syria, she responded by claiming her team of writers accepts that love and sex ‘are one unit,’ as if this was an important aspect of the team identity and togetherness.²¹¹ Carole, it seems, would be surprised to hear that Ahmed agrees with this consensus. In my interview with him, he claimed that love traditionally followed marriage in Syria, but no longer should. Yet, despite the complexity of his views, Ahmed is labelled as ‘stubborn’ by Yousef because ‘he doesn’t have the skill of discussing things.’ Yousef’s view of Ahmed is testimony to Ahmed’s alienation from the group due to the threat he presents to the core principles of the group consensus. His views are simply too conservative and his criticism of Carole too unsettling to the *Star* agenda. Hence, for *Star*’s corporatist mechanisms to make headway, Ahmed has to be painted as the dogmatic patriarch beyond the pale.

Carole should not be seen as the sole architect of this privatized corporatism, rather as the vehicle for its growth, consolidation and dissemination. This corporatism should be thought of as a complex tendency which combines both an authority structure into whose ranks young people should be urged and a diffuse discourse comprising principles, rules and legitimations on which that structure is built. Carole herself, whilst deeply involved in harnessing the operation of this corporatism to certain professed ends, is not some grand *manipulatrice* commanding

²¹¹ Interview on 8 December 2005

Bibliography

events from on high, duping those below her so as they consume without reflexion and partake inexorably in her domination. This equation might characterize the power attributed to the generals of the ‘culture industry’ so central to the drastically pessimistic imagination of the neo-Marxist Frankfurt School.²¹² But it would be a thoroughly misguided equation in our explanation of Carole’s role in *Star*. Both Carole and *Star* magazine are just small cogs in the machinery that is attempting to accomplish the privatization of authoritarianism in Syria. As in all social histories, this machinery is liable to break down, to be disturbed from within and to produce unresolved outcomes for new contests to emerge.

Central to this thesis is the idea that authoritarianism in Syria, compelled by perceived threats both internal and external, is in the process of transforming the genesis of its domination. A regime that had previously sought control of symbolic production, of media, and of youth itself in order to maintain its power now seeks to regenerate the basis of its authoritarian rule through private means. Hence, the manufacture and dissemination of pro-regime national symbols and the production of media output that can compete regionally and bolster the Syrian national cause is taken up by private companies. The corporatism described in this chapter is one more case of the privatization of authoritarianism. Corporatism is a word often used in political science literature to define the machinery of the *state* in Syria, rather than to consider the role of *private* companies. As Hinnebusch argues, the enormous state institutions and organizations established under Hafiz al-Asad – the bureaucracy, the Ba`th Party, the army, the many intelligence services, the popular youth organizations – had the effect of incorporating and mobilizing large sections of society into the

²¹² Adorno and Horkheimer, in Daring ed., 1993: 31-41

Bibliography

machinery of the state and created networks of patronage in which individuals and groups competed against each other rather than mobilizing against the state.²¹³ But despite their size and apparent strength, these associations were ‘internally undemocratic’ and ‘powerless vis-à-vis the regime to become effective instruments of mass political participation’.²¹⁴ As Perthes argues, they served only to reinforce regime power.²¹⁵ The Ba`th Party, which in the 1970s boasted a membership of one in thirteen Syrians,²¹⁶ provides two crucial functions to the maintenance of regime power: first, it channels the upwardly mobile into a structure which focuses their energies on further refining their commitment to the regime; second, it provides institutional mechanisms for continuous socialization of citizens along lines which benefit the regime.²¹⁷ This process begins from an early age as young Syrians are encouraged to join the Revolutionary Youth Organization (*Ittihad Al-Shabiba Al-Thawri*) where they attend training camps, perform infrastructure development tasks, and pursue ‘the struggle against feudal and bourgeois mentalities.’²¹⁸

The tasks facing the regime today are to generate new wealth and harness new media to Syria’s national benefit. These tasks are hard to integrate into the system of state corporatism as it stands. Indeed, they are hard to achieve in an economy which largely avoided the *infitah* policies that oversaw the privatization of state-owned industries, like banking and mining, in Egypt. What is needed is a privatized corporatism welded from similar networks of patronage which can reproduce itself for the future. The outlines of this approach have been in the making for over ten years. As Heydemann notes, Hafiz Al-Asad sought to ‘enhance the process of capital

²¹³ 2001: 80-87

²¹⁴ Perthes, in Kienle ed., 1994: 52

²¹⁵ Ibid

²¹⁶ Hinnebusch, 1980: 146

²¹⁷ Ibid: 145

²¹⁸ Ibid: 149-150

Bibliography

accumulation' while at the same time 'minimizing the political instability that disengagement brought about' so that 'liberalization becomes merely another means to maintain the existing system.'²¹⁹ But the approach has been pursued with renewed vigour in the last five years in the rein of Bashar Al-Asad. With increased threats from foreign media, a tense regional and international political environment, and domestic dissidents, and unable to re-establish a cult of personality to match the rule of Hafiz Al-Asad, Bashar's Syria needs more than ever new mechanisms to solve old problems. The privatization of corporatism is that new mechanism.

It is my contention that *Star* represents the early stages of a developing privatized corporatist discourse. It is emerging from the tectonic shifts produced by a strategy which views that authoritarianism must stay, but the means of its domination must change. Young people are no longer to be socialized to struggle against 'bourgeois mentalities,' but are to be encouraged to pursue bourgeois lifestyles and professions. Crucially, such lifestyles and professions are not only to be presented as valuable, but possible and attainable within today's Syria. In other words, the emerging privatized corporatist discourse discourages any questioning of the regime because it imagines that all that could be revered or desired is readily available within the current political framework. Progress means the invalidation of backwardness coupled with the pursuit of self-generated middle class modernity. Young people are to be awarded for being good consumers, productive industrialists, and regime supporters by working their way up the professional corporate hierarchy, a hierarchy which at its pinnacle involves close contacts of blood and patronage between business and regime leaders. Experimentation in the realms of consumption and work

²¹⁹ 1993: 81-82, 79

Bibliography

experience is encouraged, but criticism of those devoted to the system, particularly authority figures within it, is certainly not. *Star* is both a mechanism for this privatized corporatism (it works upon the *Star* writers) and a vehicle for its dissemination through society (it seeks to work upon *Star* readers).

The Privatized Corporatism in Text and Image: the Contours of a Discourse

The consensus built around Carole is legible in the texts and images of the magazine. It is this consensus which provides form and coherence to the discourse of the magazine, and underscores its corporatist guidelines. Readers are offered a know-how with which to navigate their professional and lifestyle choices – a passport enabling them to play their role in furthering a specifically national modernity. The magazine's corporatism has two essential features: first, it sets limits on what can and cannot be said and questioned, in part by offering positive models to be valued, and second, it is didactic, providing cogent but simple answers to adolescent angst, the unintelligibility of the world, and problems of morality. In both, it seeks to provide a replacement for parental role models and constraints, whilst denying politics as a reasonable realm for the exploration and transformation of social life. In a sense then, *Star* magazine offers the reader the chance to become a modern and successful individual by way of a discourse of corporatist constraint.

One of the most striking aspects of the Syrian content is it appears to be almost entirely devoid of criticism or irony. The commercial and acting professionals

Bibliography

who dominate the early sections of the magazine – *Star Interview*, *Real Star*, and *Double Star* – are not only unthreatening, they are presented, without exception, as successes rather than failures. This can be explained by the fact that the magazine sets them up as national heroes, role models to be emulated, young winners making a good job of it. Hence, its portrayal of professionals is remarkably one-dimensional; there is very little probing into sensitive topics (as in the Lebanese *L'Interview*) and ‘stars’ are allowed to present themselves as positively as possible. In *Real Star*, for example, questions such as ‘what do you dream of,’ ‘what is your slogan in life,’ and ‘what were the steps to your success’²²⁰ are general and give the business professionals displayed the platform from which to present themselves as successful, confident, and powerful. Any investigation of professional inadequacies, social problems, disputes, corruption, and flailing careers (the bread and butter of provocative journalism, not to mention paparazzi-based journalism) has to be sidelined. Indeed, the ‘stars’ on show, as well as the professions and lifestyles they represent, cannot be mocked. This dynamic should in no way be read as some specific Syrian cultural trait; on the contrary, Syrians showed a huge appetite for the insightful and cutting irony (Figure 18; see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig18>) of master cartoonist, Ali Farzat, whose short-lived private newspaper *Al-Domari* regularly sold out. The lack of irony in *Star* magazine betrays its unwillingness to interrogate middle class role models and lifestyles, and demonstrates that the magazine is geared to promoting an unremittingly positive vision of both.

²²⁰ From Issue 32, 16-22 July 2005, pp 8-9. These questions are typical of *Real Star*.

Bibliography

This has an important repercussion: displays of youth sub-cultures which might be seen to threaten the national modernist agenda can only qualify for a feature by being overtly deferent to *Star*'s corporatism. An interview with actor Milad Yusuf demonstrates this dynamic very clearly (Figure 18; see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig18>).²²¹ Yusuf, who is performing in a musalsal, *Hajiz al-Samat*, about a group of young bikers who are fans of hard rock music, works hard to persuade the reader that the apparently rebellious sub-culture is not in fact threatening to society. Claiming that 'part of the role of actors and Syrian drama' is in 'correcting prevailing myths in society,' Yusuf makes two arguments about the sub-culture: first, he maintains that young bikers are just as normal as the rest of Syrian society, describing them as

'students of intelligent behaviour, who love the country and who cooperate with it fully in every way, all of whom have specialised work, proven financial security, and are not a burden on society as some people think';²²²

second, he asserts that the sub-culture to which these young people subscribe is actually as capable of originality, skill, and finesse as 'our Eastern music' or any high culture. Describing hard rock as a 'highly developed music demanding high technical abilities' since it is 'a creative music by distinction,'²²³ he effectively claims it can be incorporated into Syrian national culture and valued within the same terms of reference. Perhaps most tellingly, he vehemently denies that young bikers are guilty of 'Satan worship,' arguing that as 'part of our youth' these young people have no connection with such 'perverted groups.'²²⁴ Finally, to prove that such sub-cultures can be accepted into the fold, Yusuf is pictured in costume on a Harley Davidson style

²²¹ *Star Interview*, *Star* issue 40, 10-17 September 2005: 4-6

²²² *Ibid*: 4

²²³ *Ibid*: 5

²²⁴ *Ibid*: 4

Bibliography

motorbike wearing black T-shirt and jeans, leather gloves, and sunglasses. To guarantee his credibility, he is also pictured in conversation with *Star* staff wearing a more acceptable crisply ironed white shirt. The juxtaposition of the two images is a metaphor for the article – beneath the exterior expressions of rebellious youth identity, young bikers are as normal, decent, and devoted to the national cause as any other. In *Star*, any behaviour that cannot be reconciled within the national image is systematically placed in the category of foreign deviance or in that of local traditional backwardness. There is no ‘authentic’ deviance.

In addition to rigid norms governing who can be the legitimate focus of a *Star* feature and how they can be presented, there are also well-established norms of censorship governing suitable topics for investigation. The ability of regime censors to limit discussion in print of sensitive issues is so ingrained that journalists and state officials alike repeat the tenets of censorship like a mantra. These tenets have come to be known in Syria as the ‘three red lines’ and cover, quite predictably, the three no-go areas of politics, sex, and religion. *Star* writers, Ahmed and Yousef, were equally as versed in the ‘three red lines’ of censorship as their editor and manager, Carole. Indeed, perhaps unsurprisingly, I was again acquainted with the intricacies of the three red lines during an interview with Ahed Abuzeid at the Ministry of Media.²²⁵ In each case, although I brought up the issue of censorship, my interviewee outlined the three red lines entirely independently. The consistency of response shown by state official, editor and the amateur writers points not only to the fact that print censorship is entrenched in Syria, but also to the active role *Star* writers play in enforcing the bounds of what they can write. *Star*, then, is itself a mechanism of control on the

²²⁵ 17 December 2005

Bibliography

bounds of speech. Of course, as some *Star* writers argued, a censored magazine is better than no magazine at all. Indeed, in the long-term it may provide a site for contests and voices the regime finds deeply unsettling. The point is, however, that so far the magazine has sought to elaborate on, rather than diminish, the ongoing relevance to public life of the three red lines of state censorship; it has sought to obstruct and drown out voices emanating through new media, such as the internet and satellite television, which *are* deemed threatening to regime control. *Star*, to borrow from Wedeen, ‘clutters public space’²²⁶ with what it does not say; it is a text deeply loaded in the denial of politics and the de-legitimization of self-questioning.

It might be argued, as Twitchell has argued, that popular culture makes no attempt to engage with politics or religion, rather to sell what sells because it entertains.²²⁷ Yet, *Star* is not simply an artefact of the kind of popular culture Twitchell charts. Rather, it is a self-confessed manual in youth education devoted to ‘introducing youth work’ as it says in its first Syrian-content issue.²²⁸ So it might seem that *Star*’s readers would benefit from pieces examining religious authorities, answering readers’ questions about sex, or discussing possibilities for increasing youth participation in politics. But none of these is possible, not just because they might contain the seeds of future threats to regime power and its role in shaping social life, but because they unsettle the very purpose of *Star* magazine – to help produce a new generation of compliant young Syrians who aspire to a middle class consumption lifestyle and professional achievement within the bounds of sustained regime authority. The magazine, then, provides the blueprint for youth regeneration within guidelines of constraint.

²²⁶ 1999: 6

²²⁷ 1992: 43

²²⁸ Issue 30, 2-7 July 2005: 1

Bibliography

Star, whilst largely written by young amateurs, is nevertheless determinedly didactic. The features which further *Star*'s didactic function most overtly are *Real Star* and *Double Star* which display professionally successful figures, including women, and detail the secret to their success. *Real Star* is a double-page spread focusing on the personality, education, and career of a different professional each week, whilst *Double Star* comprises two full-page photographs of other 'stars' with details of their hobbies, studies, and career. The questions *Real Star* asks aim to persuade the reader to aspire to corporate ideals, to value education, and to understand their personality as a resource:

'What do people say about your personality?'

'Why did you study?'

'What are your next plans?'

'What are the areas of work that you have become absorbed by?'

'What are the areas of work that you have tackled courageously?'

Not only do these questions reinforce the idea that being fulfilled in life is to be professionally successful, they also confirm that middle class identity is founded on *aspiration*. For male 'stars', the article uses a font based on handwriting to make the role model seem as personable and approachable as possible, but simultaneously establishes him as an authority figure by way of pictures of the 'star' at work – often in an office environment – answering the telephone, signing documents, and typing on the computer. In the office environments I observed space is geared to projecting the manager's centrality in it. Managers use the office to affirm their position relative to other employees, exploiting tools at their disposal – buzzers for summoning secretarial staff, telephones, televisions – to signal alertness and control. By presenting the professional as both powerful and approachable, *Real Star* seeks to

Bibliography

persuade the reader not only that it is right and possible to aspire to such a role, but that self-empowerment requires the reader to emulate the ‘star’ on display (Figure 20; see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig20>). Women, though rarely the focus of *Real Star*, are displayed differently. Pictured in their homes, they are seen as role models because they have succeeded in establishing a warm domestic environment replete with the paraphernalia of modern bourgeois living – luxurious sofas, lavish furnishings, candles, and paintings (Figure 20; see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig20>).²²⁹

Representations of this sort are not new; rather the construction of women as managers of the domestic space has a long legacy in the modern Middle East²³⁰.

What *Star* does is combine two codes – the professional and the consumptive – which are two complementary sides of the same know-how in middle class prosperity and achievement.

Other didactic material is a result of a complex relationship in which Carole commissions and selects articles but frequently refers to the young writers’ interests for ideas. The writers are role models throughout the magazine; their dress, their enthusiasm, their ambition all combine to provide the reader with a coherent package in how to be successful and young in a modern secular Syria. Moreover, they are responsible for writing the two pieces which might be understood as the magazine’s editorial comment. These two pieces are mirrors of one another: the former opens the magazine in English whereas the latter closes the magazine in Arabic, yet both deal with the same issues of romantic love, morality and fulfilment in life. These commentaries encapsulate the magazine and provide its moral shell. Indeed, they

²²⁹ See issues 33, 23-30 July 2005: 8-9; 35, 6-13 August 2005: 8-9; 42, 24 September-1 October 2005: 8-9

²³⁰ Najmabadi, in Abu-Lughod, ed., 1998

Bibliography

function so unmistakably as small parables on life to help the reader negotiate life's traumas and obstacles that they might strike the Western reader as patronizing in the extreme. Taking the angst of adolescence very seriously, they are written as if the reader unavoidably shares similar concerns and problems as *Star* writers. A typical formula identifies a problem in life, questions why it should be so, and concludes by offering the reader a bite-size maxim to take away. For example, one of Aladdin's opening editorial pieces could hardly begin on a more morose note: 'Is life worth it? Is life worth our tears?'²³¹ Devoid of context, this rhetorical gambit could potentially divert the reader to the wrong answer, 'No.' But Aladdin is on hand to provide reassurance and comfort, cajoling his audience to 'cheer up, we have to convince ourselves that this life is great.' As is so often the case in *Star*'s editorial pieces, the guidelines offer routes to contentment which remain ungrounded in social or political action. So we arrive at the moral of the parable, unmistakably indicated in red print, which reads, 'Always remember that we only live once. But if we do it right, once is enough.' Aladdin, and by extension *Star*, provides a resolution to angst, and therefore a ladder to personal confidence and fulfilment.

Concluding aphorisms of this kind, highlighted in red, are not uncommon in *Star* editorials:

'Don't be so busy thinking about how could you be happier and forget the blessings we live every day, hour, or moment...'

'[Winter] is truly a beautiful season. Try to live it and think about it positively for one time only, and you will see how much you will love and appreciate it.'

'Kindness is the noblest weapon which you conquer with.'²³²

²³¹ *Star* issue 38, 27 August – 3 September 2005

²³² *Star* issues respectively 44, 8-15 October 2005; 53, 10-17 December 2005; 47, 29 October – 1 November 2005

Bibliography

Raya Saba goes as far as offering the reader ‘21 rules 2 be happy’ which not only seek to build the reader’s self-confidence, reminding the reader to ‘spend time alone’ and ‘remember ... respect for self,’ but also elaborate a secular moral code which can replace religious codes.²³³ Thus, the reader is told to ‘take immediate steps to correct’ a mistake and ‘give people more than they expect and do it cheerfully.’ And, as if this wasn’t enough to chew on, the reader learns how to be truly fulfilled in life:

‘Love deeply and passionately. You might get hurt but it’s the only way to live life completely.’

Many of these editorials recycle slogans and even whole tracts from internet sites. Plagiarism is one way in which the magazine is constraining: it validates and endorses a process in which the writers look to simple answers for solutions, rather than self-generated thought and political action, and recycles them, along with their validation, through society. One of Yousef’s English-language editorials does just this, churning out aphorisms which call on the reader to have hope and live life to the full:

‘Work like you don’t need the money, love like you’ve never been hurt, dance like no one is watching and live like it’s heaven on earth’²³⁴

Yousef’s aphorisms deny the acknowledgment of impossibility or failure, and therefore negate practical lived realities. Moreover, the aphorisms turn on binaries which do not reflect lived struggles and hardships: ‘if it’s good, it’s wonderful. If it’s bad, it’s experience’ and ‘everything is okay in the end, if it’s not ok, then it’s not the end’. These binaries (predicated on the idea that all lived experience is positive and

²³³ *Star* issue 45, 15-22 October 2005

²³⁴ *Star* issue 36, 13-20 August 2005

Bibliography

valuable) are not only false, they seek to divert readers from the possibility of improving their material and social lives through political action. In other words, they remove practical visions of critique and self-generated change from the map by offering unattainable goals devoid of local or historical actuality.

This sloganeering reoccurs throughout the magazine. In *Real Star*, *Double Star*, and *Sport Star*, professional and amateur successes are asked to reveal their slogan for life. These often validate hard work and a sense of social conscience, but also equip the reader with what seems to be a simple set of maxims which provide a passport into the world of professional fulfilment. Clearly, they are intended as part of the secular ethical and social code *Star* seeks to give its readers which can replace ‘backward’ religious moral codes which are incapable of adapting to the modern world envisioned. Indeed, they are the flip side of a code which dominates the latter pages of the Syrian content, a code which, on the one hand, encourages bourgeois consumption as the key to fulfilment in life (rather than religious observance), and, on the other, points to hidden texts within the natural world as a secular explanation for why things are as they are. The Syrian content is riddled with endorsements for consumption, not only in advertising, but also in the articles *Star* writers produce. Thus, readers are informed about types of home gardens, how to keep beautiful, and how the way they dress can help reduce their defects.²³⁵ In addition, pieces such as *Star Kitchen*, *Top Music* and *Top Movies* outline the contours of a productive and fulfilling bourgeois lifestyle. Perhaps even more common are articles which posit certain invisible meanings in human interactions and the social world. *Star* thus discloses for its readers the relationship between eye colour and personality, the

²³⁵ *Star* issues respectively 44, 8-15 October 2005: 56; 32, 16-22 July 2005: 42-43; 34, 30 July – 6 August 2005: 40

Bibliography

hidden meanings of colours, how to discover yourself from your date of birth, how the way we apologize depends on our star sign, and how our voice points to our psychological state.²³⁶ In addition, a four-page feature championed by Carole, *Star Elak*, offers readers an explanation of their palm and a detailed account of the personalities of all children born on each of the days in the week of issue (Figure 21; see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/~%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig21>). By providing readers with a secular tool kit for understanding the world about them, these texts seek to subvert and replace religious explanations of meaning. Moreover, they explain the world as a system of incontrovertible signs in which outcomes are the products of fate. In this vision, political action is rendered unnecessary and futile.

Conclusion

Star is testimony to the emergence of a new privatized corporatism in Syria which endorses a bourgeois professional and consumptive lifestyle as a means of ensuring regime stability. This privatized corporatism provides an authority structure (as well as a legitimating discourse) which aims to replace traditional patriarchal family structures and state-based institutional corporatism. Because this corporatism is wedded to the regime, cultural practices deemed threatening or incompatible are marginalized as deviant either for being ‘backward’ (as Yousef would describe patriarchy) or for being foreign (as Milad Yusuf describes hard rock). Unlike in the hugely popular satirical newspaper *Al-Domari*, the privatized corporatism evident in *Star* eliminates criticism of middle class authority figures within the fold. Hence, a

²³⁶ *Star* issues respectively 33, 23-30 July 2005: 26; 35, 6-13 August 2005: 40; 38, 27 August-3 September 2005: 40; 47, 29 October-1 November 2005: 40; 48, 2-12 November 2005: 52

Bibliography

discourse emerges wielding the vocabulary of meritocracy and aspiration towards new mechanisms of constraint.

5

Conclusion

Down at Inhouse Coffee: *Star* Magazine in its Site of Consumption

Khalid was in his element in Inhouse coffee. Recounting tales of his sexual exploits, he mused energetically over the motivations for his latest romantic split, his mobile, embedded in the palm of his left hand, periodically alerting him to yet another music download from his ‘ex’ to which he would intermittently text back, his cigarette lodged between the index and middle fingers of his animated right hand, his strawberry milkshake in Inhouse-branded plastic cup in front of him, his almost seamless narrative punctuated only by here a drag, there a swig. By the time I arrived, he had already been hanging out in the café with his side-kick Abdul over an hour draining two ludicrously expensive milkshakes in the process. Having settled at a centrally located table clearly visible through the stylish glass-paned café front to evening shoppers looking in on the meticulously-designed and clinically-organized space that is the hallmark of Inhouse, Khalid was keen to be seen. Part of being in

Bibliography

Inhouse is being seen in Inhouse, and Khalid, was both fastidiously groomed and suitably dressed in sharp Western attire plainly marking him out as one of Damascus's wealthy young. Son of a successful car salesman and brilliantly articulate in English, Khalid finds in Inhouse the exclusive space in which to be a young modern Syrian.

Khalid, indeed, is precisely the target consumer Inhouse seeks to attract. He is within the target age range of 18 to 35, he is wealthy by Syrian standards, and he is conversant in the codes of global consumer culture having spent three summers in the UK learning English. I met Khalid first in a quite different location – the suburban environs of Al-Nabk, a small town north of Damascus, where I was staying with the religiously observant cousin of my Arabic language conversation partner. Al-Nabk does not even boast a single café. Little wonder then that Khalid only goes there on short visits to see his family. The Damascus of Inhouse offers him a much more prestigious environment in which to pursue his social life. Part of this is to do with the café's cosmopolitan feel. As Said Al-Jaafari, its Chief Operating Officer, explained to me in an interview, Inhouse seeks to attract Western students temporarily resident in Syria who account for over 20 percent of its sales. These foreigners, of whom I was one, are not out of place in Inhouse; rather they are seen to have social capital which adds value to the brand and rubs off on Syrian consumers there. For example, I was often greeted as a quasi-hero in Inhouse for nothing other than turning up. The staff seemed to love practising their latest English on me. On one occasion, a female server gave me a free promotional CD after I had asked for directions. On another, a group of four Syrian students observed me for some time before plucking up the courage to ask a question. Of course, Western tourists may receive attention

Bibliography

wherever they go in Syria, but in Inhouse, they are seen positively such that a certain social capital is funnelled into the brand. Inhouse is where young Syrians, and not just the very wealthy, can go to be transported to a cosmopolitan world, to feel connected to the pulse of global culture. And everything in the café revolves around this, from the stylish modern furnishings to the expensive cappuccinos, from the predominance of English over Arabic to the ubiquity of the Inhouse brand, from the consumption-oriented iconography of the Inhouse pictograms to the endless music videos on MTV.

Moreover, Inhouse is a place where social norms can be contested. This is partly because the chain itself contests social norms in Syria. The Western-only music television brings images fetishizing and eroticizing youth sub-cultures, thus tagging Western consumerism to visions of youth experimentation and selling the idea that to be young and connected is to pursue kinds of consumption incompatible with the previous generation. As Thomas Frank notes, through developments in advertising in 1960s America, the notion of youth came to be ‘an attractive consuming attitude, not an age’ which was ‘pre-eminently defined by the values of the counterculture’ hinging on ‘talk of rebellion, and intimations of free love.’²³⁷ In the United States, musical countercultures endowed with rebellious attitude, in-group identity, and disdain for conventions have been sought out and cultivated by advertisers and pop producers. In a world where individuality is defined by consumer identity, this dynamic makes perfect business sense. If being young hinges on a ‘consuming attitude’ which distinguishes young people from their parents, then countercultures provide ideal resources to shape what young people consume.

²³⁷ 1997: 118

Bibliography

Countercultures can thus be presented as young, innovative and ‘hip’ because they unsettle established norms. Frank describes this process for 1960s America:

‘The counterculture seemed to have it all: the unconnectedness which would allow consumers to indulge transitory whims; the irreverence that would allow them to defy moral Puritanism; and the contempt for established social rules that would free them from the slow-moving, buttoned-down conformity of their abstemious ancestors.’²³⁸

Yet, through the far-reaching endeavours of global media and mass marketing, countercultures such as rap and hard rock have become essentially mainstream in the Western youth imagination. This, however, is not the case in Syria where such genres are widely seen either as unacceptable in or incompatible with Syrian culture. But their display in Inhouse through MTV and VHR1 hints at the emergence of widespread social changes in Syria allied to the advent of satellite television and the internet. If ever will be a time in which countercultures become ‘cool’ in the Middle East, it could be fast approaching.

Inhouse is not marginal, but neither is it a chain devoted to mass appeal in the mould of the Starbucks and Costa Coffees of the West – the prices are simply too expensive and the codes of display too hard to attain for most. Rather, Inhouse is high prestige. Some of my student friends would only need a weekly or monthly fix of Inhouse to feel included in its community, although others, such as Yousef, would be there most days. Yet, Inhouse clearly sets out to exclude some and cordially include others. This does not just work through pricing but socially as well. As I have argued, the clientele in part need somewhere exclusive in order to represent the vanguard of Syrian modernity and youth culture. For example, during one of my stints in the café,

²³⁸ Ibid: 119

Bibliography

a customer sitting near the glass-paned café front objected to a homeless boy looking in at him. Almost immediately one of the numerous members of staff was outside chasing off the boy. A certain privilege and social capital pertains from being seen in Inhouse by those who cannot afford to be there, but this boy was off the scale. His presence – even though outside the café – intruded on the customer's space and undermined the logic of prestige accruing from social display. Another aspect of exclusion concerns the elimination of social codes of public display, religious observance, and political obedience which are the hallmark of public life in most of Syria. When, for example, I asked Said Al-Jaafari why he refuses to screen Arabic satellite music channels in all his cafes – a truly remarkable decision given the popularity of video clip channels, Rotana and Melody Maker, and reality TV formats, *Star Academy* and *Super Star* – he responded by saying ‘you can't put something Arabian into the café.’ Inhouse locates Syria in the west, seeking to undermine the national modernism which has long retained a place for preserving the authentic national self.

Indeed, Inhouse de-clutters leisure space, removing religious and political symbols and rhetoric, and re-stacking that space with a new iconography and language. Everything is branded - mugs, plastic cups, tissues, plates, ash trays, menus – to an extent largely unseen in any other Syrian leisure establishment (Figure 22; see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig22>). Even the servers' bodies provide legitimate space on which to display the brand – each has to wear full green uniform, apron and cap. Metallic lettering adorns the bar boldly pronouncing the Inhouse slogan in English, ‘Just a perfect day.’ Taken from a Lou Reed song, this slogan further orients Inhouse towards the West. The three pictograms that form the

Bibliography

core brand, an arrow for ‘in,’ a house for ‘house,’ and a mug for ‘coffee,’ are complemented by a set of further icons designed to reinforce the central themes of the brand. Thus, images variously depict musical notes, someone relaxing in a bath, and a heart to communicate that Inhouse is a place for friends, leisure, and romance. Inhouse branding does not stop there. The chain’s slogan is recycled as part of a brand jingle repeated roughly every hour that says, ‘Inhouse Coffee day, just a perfect day!’ But, Inhouse is less remarkable for what it contains than for what it excludes. Unlike almost all other cafes, restaurants and shops in the capital, it resists displaying images of the President, of the Syrian flag, or of religious verses or icons. The wide glass-paned fronts which are typical of Inhouse provide for a dialogue of two-way display. It provides Inhouse clientele with a lens through which to inspect the cluttered world of authoritarian Syria from a distance whilst simultaneously displaying their social privilege in an active gesture of social differentiation. In this way, the glass fronts magnify processes of social exclusion and further reinforce Inhouse’s status as a youth retreat from cluttered public space.

It is into this politically de-cluttered, Western-oriented world that *Star* magazine is put, vying with a host of other media – satellite television, mobile phones, and newspapers – for the attention of young people. In Inhouse, unlike the core business of the American coffee chains it is derived from, most customers spend extended periods of time socializing and relaxing. Hardly anyone comes in to grab a quick coffee on their way elsewhere. This presents an excellent opportunity for the consumption of media products. The centre piece of the Inhouse media menu is the satellite television shown on stylish plasma screens. Set to Western music channels, MTV and VHR1 throughout the day except mornings when Al Jazeera and Al

Bibliography

Arabiya are screened silently to Western music, this television output provides a window on the West and a set of guidelines for customers to replicate on how to be young, fashionable, and connected. The music allows for an atmosphere and continuity which helps sustain the brand's potency. But the televisions are also used to broadcast MTV recordings of live concerts of major pop stars, such as Elton John and Eric Clapton, in the early afternoon and mid-evening. These concerts combine the flow typical of MTV music videos with the display of spectacle. I noticed the customers of Inhouse to some extent taking part in the drama of these concerts as if they were there in person. For example, during a Brian Adams concert, customers tended to turn towards the television whenever a song finished. Two women sitting near me were visibly astonished by the camera angles which showed the size of the crowd. One muttered 'wow.' Later, when Adams finished a song and brought a fan on stage, again these women were drawn to the television. They too were spectators to a spectacle. On another occasion, I saw a young man counting his prayer beads. Every time the music stopped he would turn to the television and watch still counting. Clearly, the televisions are very important to Inhouse and are capable of alluring customer attention. But there are other media playing the game as well, not least mobile telephones. Inhouse clientele seem to pride themselves on displaying their latest gadgets on the table in front of them. This not only adds social capital to those with enough money to afford expensive phones, but it also allows Inhouse customers to demonstrate that they are socially connected. In other words, even without the latest phone with which to accrue social prestige, young Inhouse regulars must display their phone to prove social credibility. Texting, phoning, taking photographs and sharing music downloads are regular practices which provide additional means for enhancing a customer's social standing amongst peers as well as amongst other

Bibliography

customers in the café. Finally, it is not unusual to see groups gathered around a laptop computer, either working or discussing videos.

It should be obvious that the print media in Inhouse face very serious competition for the attention of the young clientele. *Star* is one of a number of magazines and newspapers made available for customers to browse for free in Inhouse cafes, but it is the only one which specifically sells itself as a youth magazine. Nevertheless, print is perhaps the only medium through which the nationalist, paternalistic pro-regime agenda of *Star* can realistically access the Inhouse audience within the café itself. *Star* reaches the Inhouse market only because it is distributed to certain cafes, restaurants, VIPs, and hairdressers for free. Carole puts the proportion of magazines distributed in this way at ten percent of the 10,000 print run, although on the UG website the figure is 5,000. The discrepancy points to the difficulties researchers have evaluating distribution and sales in Syria and the Middle East more generally because either the statistics are not collated or they are kept confidential. That *Star* claims to distribute up to 5,000 copies for free only reinforces the idea that it is not only a commercial venture, but also a strategy for conveying a message. Nowhere is this task more urgent than Inhouse, a veritable hunting ground for *Star* magazine.

When I first encountered *Star* in Inhouse early in my summer visit to Syria in 2005, I was convinced the two made ideal bed-fellows. But, through the course of my research, I have come to the view that this is misguided. If *Star* has two young target audiences that it seeks to transform, they are on the one hand the religiously conservative elements of the middle class, and on the other hand the Western-oriented

Bibliography

bourgeois consumers of Inhouse. To the first group, *Star* preaches conformity to a secular, politically obedient, consumerist society in which patriarchal norms are viewed as backward. To the second group, *Star* preaches something quite different: that professional achievement and a modern, bourgeois lifestyle are not only attainable in Syria, but alive and well today. It reminds readers in this camp that national icons are important, that high culture is necessary, and that morality in part stems from constraining Western influence whilst promoting a self-generated national modernity.

Although the codes of Inhouse and *Star* do align in places – both are broadly secular, both endorse consumption, and both exploit derivative hybrid models to local ends – *Star* seeks to contest some central elements of the Inhouse vision for young Syrians. Firstly, *Star* brings political slogans, regime rhetoric and national emblems into the politically de-cluttered space of the Inhouse café. During the period of intense political crisis over the Al-Hariri affair through November and December 2005, the regime moved to reaffirm mass compliance. It propagated flags and banners in public places; it broadcast overtly political songs on the radio; it developed websites masking as petitions which encouraged ‘virtual’ acts of obedience; and it re-appropriated advertising space for political sloganeering and symbolic display. Throughout all of this, Inhouse remained almost impenetrable to the symbolic production of the regime. It was only *Star* and UG’s lifestyle magazine, *Layalina*, which successfully breached the fortress of the Inhouse brand to supply messages of state control. In other words, the part-privatization of the regime’s symbolic production was crucial in furthering the regime’s message into new privately managed spaces.

Bibliography

Secondly, whereas Inhouse unashamedly orients its customers towards the West, *Star* seeks to draw them back into the national fold. In the Inhouse vision, customers accrue social prestige from consuming cultural forms which are globally mediated through satellite television. In the *Star* vision, such forms are not denied completely, but are constrained into the tight-jacket of nationally focused, morally didactic content. *Star* thus seeks to convince its young Syrian readers that their task is to consume and further products bound up in Syria's pursuit of self-generated national modernity. The underlying claim affirms that the fruits of Syria's secular modernity can be, indeed *are*, superior to those in the West.

But perhaps Inhouse and *Star* are aligned in a broader respect. Both are part of a new formulation in private-corporate practice which is energetically blurring the boundaries between cultural complexes once deemed separate. In other words, the walls which may once have separated bourgeois culture from, on the one hand, the state and, on the other, religion have been in both cases decisively breached. The common factor is the emergence of a powerful privatized corporatism that seems impossible for any cultural complex to ignore. Thus, the Inhouse advert in figure 22 (see <http://users.ox.ac.uk/%7Emetheses/WeymanThesis.htm#Fig22>) mixes modern pictogram branding with 'authentic' religious inscriptions proclaiming 'Adha Mubarak' (Happy `Id Al-Adha) in a way which parallels the merging of corporate and regime-national symbols in *Star*. Merging religious and bourgeois cultural symbols (a practice which is certainly not new or uncommon in the Middle East) is useful both to those seeking to encourage liberal consumption around religious festivals (such as Inhouse) and those seeking to keep society in check (such as religious preachers who perform on glossy satellite television channels). A similar dynamic is true of the

Bibliography

fusion of state and bourgeois symbols which apparently seem antagonistic but can work in harmony. Because of this, it is becoming increasingly hard to disentangle the vocabulary of bourgeois liberalism from forces which seek to keep society in check.

Bibliography

- Abu-Lughod, Lila. 2004. Dramas of Nationhood: The Politics of Television in Egypt. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Adorno, Theodor and Max Horkheimer. 1993. "The Culture Industry: Enlightenment as mass deception." In Simon During (ed). The Cultural Studies Reader. New York: Routledge.
- Alterman, Jon B. 2002. "The Effects of Satellite Television on Arab Domestic Politics." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Number 9 (Fall/Winter)
<http://www.tbsjournal.com/Archives/Fall02/Alterman.html>
consulted 30 March 2006.
- Alterman, Jon B. 1998. New Media, New politics? From satellite television to the internet in the Arab world. Washington DC: Washington institute for near east policy.
- Althusser, Louis. 2001. Lenin and Philosophy and Other Essays by Louis Althusser. New York: Monthly Review Press.
- Amin, Michael Cameron. 2001. "Selling and Saving 'Mother Iran': Gender and the Iranian Press in the 1940s." International Journal of Middle East Studies 33 (August).
- Anderson, Benedict. 1991. Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism. London: Verso.
- Appadurai, Arjun. 1993. "Disjuncture and Difference in the Global Cultural Economy." In During (ed). The Cultural Studies Reader. New York: Routledge.
- Armbrust, Walter. 2006. "Synchronizing Watches: The State, the Consumer, and Sacred Time in Ramadan Television." In Meyer, Brigit and Annelies Moors (eds). Religion, Media, and the Public Sphere. Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press
- Armbrust, Walter. 2005. "What would Sayyid Qutb Say? Some Reflections on Video Clips." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1.
- Armbrust, Walter. 2002. "The Influence of Mass Media on Egyptian Music." Garland Encyclopedia of World Music. New York: Routledge.
- Armbrust, Walter. 1999. "Bourgeois Leisure and Egyptian Media Fantasies." In Dale Eickelman and Jon Anderson (eds). New Media

Bibliography

- and the Muslim World: The Emerging Public Sphere. Indiana University Press.
- Armbrust, Walter. 1996. Mass Culture and Modernism in Egypt. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ayish, Muhammad L. 2002. "The Impact of Arab Satellite Television on Culture and Value Systems in Arab Countries: Perspectives and Issues." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Number 9 (Fall/Winter) <http://www.tbsjournal.com/Archives/Fall02/Ayish.html> consulted 30 March 2006.
- Batatu, Hannah. 1982 "Some Observations on the Social Roots of Syria's Ruling Military Group and the Cause for its Dominance" Middle East Journal 35.
- Bourdieu, Pierre. 1965. "The Sentiment of Honor in Kabyle Society." In J.G. Peristiany ed. Honour and Shame: the Values of Mediterranean Society. London: Weidenfeld.
- Danielson, Virginia. 1996. The Voice of Egypt: Umm Kulthum, Arabic Song, and Egyptian Society in the Twentieth Century. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Diase, Martha. 1996. Egyptian Television Serials, Audiences, and The Family House. A Public Health Enter-Educate Serial. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Texas, Austin.
- Dick, Marlin. 2005. "The State of the Musalsal: Arab Television Drama and Comedy and the Politics of the Satellite Era." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1, Number 2.
- Frank, Thomas. 1997. The Conquest of Cool: Business Culture, Counterculture, and the Rise of Hip Consumerism. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Graham Brown, Sarah. 1988. Images of Women: The portrayal of women in photography of the Middle East 1860 – 1950. London: Quartet Books.
- Gramsci, Antonio. 1971. Selections from the Prison Notebooks of Antonio Gramsci. London: Lawrence and Wishart.
- El-Hennawy, Noha. 2002. "ANN: Satellite on a Shoestring." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Number 9 (Fall/Winter) <http://www.tbsjournal.com/Archives/Fall02/El-Hennawy.html> consulted 30 March 2006.
- Heydemann, Steven. 1999. Authoritarianism in Syria: Institutions and Social Conflict: Institutions and Social Conflict, 1946: 1970. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Heydemann. 1993. "Taxation without representation." In Ellis Goldberg, Resat Kasaba, and Joel Migdal (eds). Rules and Rights in the Middle East: Democracy, Law, and Society. Seattle; London: University of Washington Press.
- Hinnebusch, Raymond and Anoushiravan Ehteshami (eds). 2002. The Foreign Policies of Middle East States. London: Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Hinnebusch, Raymond. 2001. Syria: Revolution from Above. New York: Routledge.
- Hinnebusch, Raymond. 1980. "Political Recruitment and Socialisation in Syria: The Case of the Revolutionary Youth Federation"

Bibliography

- International Journal of Middle East Studies 11.
- Joseph, Suad. 1996. "Gender and Citizenship in Middle Eastern States." In Middle East Report Number 198 (January-March).
- Khadur, Adib. 1972. Al-Sihafa Al-Suriyya: Nasha'tuha, Tatawwuruha, Waqi'uha Al-Rahin (The Syrian Press: Its Origins, Development, and Its Present Circumstances). Damascus: Dar al-Ba'th li al-Sihafa wa al-Nashr wa al-Tawzi'.
- Khaled, Amr. 2005. "Culture: The Distinguishing Feature of a People." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1
- Kraidy, Marwan M. 2005. "Reality Television and Politics in the Arab World: Preliminary Observations." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1, Number 2.
- Levinson, Charles. 2005. "Plus ça Change: The Role of the Media in Egypt's First Contested Presidential Elections." In Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1, Number 2.
- Lindsey, Ursula. 2005. "TV Versus Terrorism: Why This Year's Ramadan Soap Operas Tackled One 'Controversial' Subject, But Were Barred from Broaching Others." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1, Number 2
- Lynch, Mark. 2005. "'Reality is Not Enough': The Politics of Arab Reality TV." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1, Number 2.
- Martin, Kevin. 2005. "'Enter the Future!' Exemplars of Bourgeois Modernity in 1950s Damascus." Phd dissertation: George Town University.
- Migdal, J. 1994. "The State in Society: An Approach to Struggles for Domination" In Joel S. Migdal, Atul Kohli, and Vivienne Shue (eds). State Power and Social Forces: Domination and Transformation in the Third World. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mitchell, T. 1991. "The Limits of the State: Beyond Statist Approaches and their Critics" in American Political Science Review 85
- Mitchell, Timothy. 1988. Colonising Egypt. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Najmabadi, Afsaneh. 1998. "Crafting an Educated Housewife in Iran." In Lila Abu-Lughod (ed). Remaking Women: Feminism and Modernity in the Middle East. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Ohmann, Richard. 1006. Selling Culture: Magazines, Markets, and Class at the Turn of the Century. New York: Verso.
- Perthes, Volker. 1997. "Myths and Money: Four Years of Hariri and Lebanon's Preparation for a New Middle East." Middle East Report No 203, *Lebanon and Syria: The Geopolitics of change* (Spring)
- Perthes, Volker. 1994. "Stages of economic and political liberalization." In Eberhard Kienle (ed) Contemporary Syria: Liberalisation between Cold war and cold peace. London: British Academic Press.
- Perthes, Volker. 1992. "Syria's Parliamentary Elections: Remodelling Asad's political base" Middle East Report Number 174, *Democracy in the Arab World* (Jan- Feb).
- Rojek, Chris. 2000. Leisure and Culture. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Bibliography

- Ryzova, Lucie. 2005. "I Am a Whore But I Will Be A Good Mother: On the Production and Consumption of the Female Body in Egypt." Arab Studies Journal Volume 7, Number 2
- Sakr, Naomi. 2005. "Arab Satellite Broadcasting and the State." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1
- Sakr, Naomi. 2002. "Arab Satellite Channels Between State and Private Ownership: Current and Future Implications." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Number 9 (Fall/Winter)
http://www.tbsjournal.com/Archives/Fall02/Sakr_paper.html
consulted 30 March 2006.
- Sakr, Naomi. 2001. Satellite Realms: Transnational Television, Globalization and the Middle East. London and New York: IB Tauris.
- Salamandra, Christa. 1998. "Moustache Hairs Lost: Ramadan Television Serials and the Construction of Identity in Damsacus, Syria." Visual Anthropology 10 (2-4).
- Salamandra, Christa. 2004. A New Old Damascus: Authenticity and Distinction in Urban Syria. Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press
- Salamandra, Christa. 2005. "Television and the Ethnographic Endeavor: The Case of Syrian Drama." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1
- Seymour, Elizabeth. 1999. Imagining Modernity: Consuming Identities and Constructing the Ideal Nation on Egyptian Television. Ph.D. dissertation, SUNY Binghamton University.
- Shakry, Omnia. 1998. "Schooled Mothers and Structured Play: Child Rearing in Turn-of-the-Century Egypt." In Lila Abu-Lughod (ed). Remaking Women: Feminism and Modernity in the Middle East. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Shoup, John. 2005. "As it Was, and as it Should be Now: Al Andalus in Contemporary Arab Television Dramas." Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1, Number 2
- Sreberny, Annabelle and Ali Mohammadi. 1994. Small Media, Big Revolution: Communication, Culture, and the Iranian Revolution. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Starrett, Gregory. 1998. Putting Islam to work : education, politics, and religious transformation in Egypt. Berkeley ; London : University of California Press.
- Thompson, Elizabeth. 2000. Colonial Citizens: Republican Rights, Paternal Privilege, and Gender in French Syria and Lebanon. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Twitchell, James. 1992. Carnival Culture: The Trashing of Taste in America. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Van Dam, Nikolas. 1996. The Struggle for Power in Syria: Politics and society under Asad and the Ba`th Party. London: Tauris.
- Wedeen, Lisa. 1999. Ambiguities of Domination: Politics, rhetoric and symbols in contemporary Syria. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Wise, Lindsay. 2005. "Whose Reality is Real?: Ethical Reality TV Trend Offers 'Culturally Authentic' Alternative to Western

Bibliography

Formats.” Transnational Broadcasting Studies Volume 1, Number 2.

Web Sources

<http://www.united-group.org/home.html> consulted 2 May 2006

http://europa.eu.int/comm/external_relations/syria/intro/index.htm consulted on 29 April, 2006

<http://www.cggl.org/scripts/document.asp?id=46245> consulted 2 May 2006

David Hirst. *The Guardian*, 21 August 2003,

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/international/story/0,1026127,00.html> consulted on 28 February 2006

<http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrrpt/2004/41732.htm>, consulted 9 April 2006