DIVISION OF LABOUR ACCORDING TO AGE: THE MEDIEVAL GREEK PREPOSITIONAL SYSTEM

Pietro BORTONE

University of Oxford, England

Περίληψη

Στὸ σύστημα τῶν προθέσεων τῆς μεσαιωνικῆς ἑλληνικῆς, μπορεῖ νὰ διακρίνει κανεὶς δύο στρώματα· ἕνα παλαιότερων καὶ ἕνα μεταγενέστερων προθέσεων. Ἡ χρήση καὶ τῶν δύο εἰδῶν ἐξετάζεται σὲ εἰδικὰ corpora, ἀπὸ τὰ ὁποῖα διαφαίνεται μιὰ σαφὴς σημασιολογικὴ διαφοροποίηση· οἱ νεώτερες προθέσεις ἔχουν, γενικά, τοπικὴ σημασία, ἐνῷ οἱ παλαιότερες σταδιακὰ περιορίζονται στὴν ἀπόδοση ἀφηρημένων ἐννοιῶν — μὲ τὴν προϋπόθεση ὅτι ὑπάρχουν νεώτερα ὑποκατάστατα στὰ ὁποῖα μποροῦν νὰ μεταδώσουν τὴν τοπική τους χρήση.

1. The new generation of prepositions

The older (Schwyzer 1950:436-533) prepositions like $\upsilon\pi\acute{o}$ are being replaced by newer, 'improper' ones like $\kappa\acute{\alpha}\tau\omega$, which once were just adverbs and now take objects (and are increasingly compounded – as even some old 'proper' ones are: $\grave{\epsilon}\pi\acute{\alpha}\nu\omega$ $\grave{\epsilon}\iota\varsigma$, $\pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha}$ $\mathring{\alpha}\pi\acute{o}$). The question arises: are there semantic differences between the two sets?

2. The Medieval usage of improper (= newer) Prepositions

I have examined the use of the 'improper' prepositions in seven Medieval texts (see bibliography): ἄντικρυ, γύρω, ἐμπρός[θεν], ἐναντίον/-α, ἐντός, ἐνωπίον, ἔξω[θεν], [ἐ]πάνω[θεν], ἔσω[θεν], [ἀ-/ὑπο]κάτω[θεν], κοντά, κύκλω[θεν], μάκροθεν, μέσα/-ον, πλησίον, ὀπίσω/-θεν. The results were:

- (a) In 90% of instances (451 out of 502), these newer prepositions were used in spatial senses.
- (b) The newest ones (e.g. $\gamma \acute{\nu} \rho \omega$, κοντά, κ $\acute{\nu}$ κλ ω) were only spatial.
- (c) Of the non-spatial 10% (51 instances), 19 instances were of μέσον, and they could be explained as due to the preexisting use of μέσον as a noun in many abstract senses. Interestingly, the new preposition ἀνὰ μέσον 'between', in my corpus, was only spatial.
- (d) Many other non-spatial examples can be 'explained away' as taken from Biblical style (and, in turn, from Hebrew). E.g. Sphrantzes (XVIII.8): τίμιος ἐναντίον κυρίου occurs verbatim in Ps. 115.16, where it translates the original יָקר בְּעִינִי יְהוֹה (Ps.116.15).

3. The Medieval usage of 'proper' (= older) prepositions

I have also analysed the use of older prepositions (ἀμφί, ἀνά, ἀντί, ἐκ/ἐξ, ἐν, ἐπί, κατά, παρά, περί, πρό, πρός, σύν, ὑπέρ, ὑπό) in Porphyrogenitus' *De Administrando Imperio*, and I found that their use was as follows:

Spatial: 689 Non-spatial: 1308

Furthermore, none of these old prepositions had only spatial senses, while some had only non-spatial senses. The use of the old prepositions is therefore the counterpart of the use of the new prepositions: old prepositions are largely restricted to non-spatial senses – the senses that the 'young' prepositions cannot express. Bearing in mind the influence of archaising styles, the picture is remarkably coherent. Macroscopic exceptions are $\varepsilon i\varsigma/\sigma \varepsilon$ and $\alpha \pi o$ (secondarily, $\gamma i o$ and $\mu \varepsilon$). However, these had no newer synonyms – and they had a special status, being the only simplex prepositions that could appear as the second element of compound prepositions.

4. The pattern of replacement

Let us group 'proper' prepositions according to:

- whether they had a synonym (for their spatial sense);
- whether that synonym was new or old (because, if the latter, it was therefore already endowed with non-spatial senses, unlike the newer prepositions).

Group A – old Ps with new replacements (for their spatial sense)

```
ἀνά > [ἀ-/ἐ]πάνω[θεν]

ἀντί > ἐμπρόσ[θεν/-τά), ἐνάντια/-ον, ἐνώπιον, ἄντικρυ

περί > [τρι]γύρω[θεν], κύκλω[θεν] [also for ἀμφί]

πρό > ἐμπρόσ[θεν/-τά), ἐνάντια/-ον, ἐνώπιον, ἀντικρύ

ὑπέρ > (ἀ-/ἐπ)άνω[θεν]

ὑπό > [ὑπο]κάτω[θεν]
```

Group B – old prepositions replaced (in their spatial sense) by both old prepositions and new ones

There were prepositions (e.g. $\grave{\epsilon}\kappa$) whose spatial sense was now expressed by newer rivals ($\grave{\epsilon}\xi\omega[\theta\epsilon\nu]$, $\grave{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\acute{o}\sigma[\theta\epsilon\nu]$) but which (unlike those in the previous group) had also been supplanted by other old prepositions ($\mathring{\alpha}\pi\acute{o}$). The latter ones (like $\mathring{\alpha}\pi\acute{o}$) had no new substitutes

themselves, and so retained spatial senses. As a result, $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa$ (non-spatial in almost 3/4 of occurrences) was also used as lofty equivalent of $\tilde{\alpha}\pi\acute{o}$. All the prepositions which had been replaced (even partly) by $\tilde{\alpha}\pi\acute{o}$, $\tilde{\epsilon}i\varsigma$ or $\mu\acute{\epsilon}$, and had therefore come to be used as old-fashioned synonyms for them, can be expected to have some of the spatial meanings that $\tilde{\epsilon}i\varsigma$, $\tilde{\alpha}\pi\acute{o}$ and $\mu\acute{\epsilon}$ retained. This occurs with:

- ἐν > ἐντός, ἔσω[θεν], μέσον but also εἰς
 ἐπί > ἐπάνω[θεν]/ἀπάνω[θεν]but also εἰς
 παρά > πλησίον, κοντά but also (in a different sense) εἰς
- σύν > μέ and μετά
- Group G old prepositions replaced (in their spatial sense) by both old and new ones but not entirely:
- κατά > in the sense of 'down(wards)' > κάτω, and in the sense of 'to(wards)' > εἰς. Yet, κατά remained, used in its other spatial sense, 'in the region of', because that meaning was not in the semantic range of κάτω or εἰς.
- πρός > was also largely but not entirely replaced by εἰς: the spatial sense of 'towards, somewhere near' was not in εἰς, and made πρός (like κατά) not entirely dispensable.
- Group D old prepositions for which no (recent or equally old) spatial replacement was available at all

These were $\alpha\pi\dot{o}$, $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$ ($\gamma\iota\dot{\alpha}$), $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\varsigma$ ($\sigma\dot{\epsilon}$); they retained both spatial and non-spatial senses throughout their history, and have therefore not been examined here.

5. Conclusions:

- (a) Old prepositions with no (new or old) rivals (e.g. $\alpha\pi\acute{o}$) retained their spatial senses (as well as their non-spatial ones);
- (b) Old prepositions with a new substitute (e.g. $\upsilon \pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho$) shed their spatial senses, 'unloading' them onto the new forms (e.g. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \acute{\alpha} \nu \omega$);
- (c) The same was done by the old prepositions (e.g. $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}$) that had been replaced by both a new form ($\kappa ovt\dot{\alpha}$) and by another old but 'unrivalled' form that therefore retained spatial sense ($\dot{\epsilon}v$). However, they can be found used as archaic/learned equivalents of the latter, and therefore with its spatial meanings;

- (d) Old prepositions (e.g. $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha$) that had only a *partial* replacement (of whatever age), lost the spatial meanings that could be expressed by a substitute but retained the spatial senses that nothing else in the system could express;
- (e) All old prepositions kept their non-spatial senses, because new prepositions could not have them; old prepositions lost their spatial senses according to whether (and to the extent to which) they had a newer substitute that could 'take over' that spatial meaning.

Thus, the first meaning of new prepositions was usually or primarily spatial – the newest prepositions (e.g. $\gamma \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\omega}$) were clearly only spatial – while the old prepositions (that once had both spatial and abstract meanings) were gradually restricted to abstract meanings (and if they developed new senses, these could only be abstract).

MEDIEVAL TEXTS USED AS CORPUS AND THEIR EDITIONS

Richard M. Dawkins, 1932, Leontios Machairas' "Recital concerning the sweet land of Cyprus called Cronaca - that is, chronicle" (Book 1). Oxford, Clarendon Press.

Ludwig Dindorf, 1831, *Ioannis Malalae Chronographia*. Bonn.

Herbert Hunger, 1981, Anonyme Metaphrase zu Anna Komnene, Alexias XI-XIII. Vienna, Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.

Gyula Moravcsik, 1967, *Porphyrogenitus' De Administrando Imperio*. Washington, Dumbarton Oaks.

Salvatore Impellizzeri, 1993, Michele Psello - Imperatori di Bisanzio (Cronografia). Milan, Valla.

Hans Eideneier, 1991, *Ptochoprodromos. Einfuhrung, kritische Ausgabe, deutsche Übersetzung, Glossar.* Cologne. Romiosini.

Riccardo Maisano, 1990, *Giorgio Sfranze Cronaca*. Rome. Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCE

Eduard Schwyzer, 1950, Griechische Grammatik. Vol. II: Syntax und syntaktische Stilistik. Munich, Beck.