# UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD FACULTY OF LAW THE EU AS AN ACTOR IN INTERNATIONAL LAW SEMINAR XI: THE EUROPEAN UNION AND THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

## **Questions for Discussion:**

- The EU's position on the 'unsigning' of the Rome Statute by the US
- The EU position on the conclusion of 'Article 98 Agreements' by the US
- EU Guiding Principles concerning Arrangements between a State Party to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court and the United States Regarding the Conditions to Surrender of Persons to the Court
- The EU reaction to the American Service Members' Protection Act
- The EU's position on Security Council resolutions 1422 (2002), 1487 (2003) and 1497 (2003)
- Ways for the EU and the US to cooperate with the International Criminal Court

### **Basic Reading:**

- \*\*Amnesty International, *International Criminal Court: The need for the European Union to take more effective steps to prevent members from signing US impunity agreements*, October 2002. 33 pp. [available http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/IOR40/030/2002]
- \*\*Appelquist, Barbi, The European Union v. the North Atlantic Treaty Organization: Estonia's conflicting interest as a party to the International Criminal Court, *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review* 27 (2003), 77-102 [available on HeinOnline]
- Join, Neha, A Separate Law for Peacekeepers: the Clash between the Security Council and the International Criminal Court, *European Journal of International Law* 16 (2005), 239-254
- Schabas, William A., United States Hostility to the International Criminal Court: It's All About the Security Council, *European Journal of International Law* 15 (2004), 701-720
- \*\*van der Vyver, Johan D., *The International Criminal Court American Responses to the Rome Conference and the Role of the European Union*, 2003, 27 pp. (available at www.irp.uni-trier.de/19 vanderVyver.pdf)

# Material:

- \*\*Europe should be more sensitive to American concerns, OpEd by Robert Kagan, International Herald Tribune, 1 July 2002 [text supplied]
- \*\*Commissioner Patten on the International Criminal Court, IP/02/1023 Brussels, 9<sup>th</sup> July 2002 [available at http://ec.europa.eu/comm/external\_relations/human\_rights/news/ip02\_1023.htm]
- \*\*Council of the European Union, Council Conclusions International Criminal Court (ICC) and Annex: EU Guiding Principles concerning Agreements between a State Party to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court and the United States Regarding the Conditions to Surrender of Persons to the Court, General Affairs and External Relations Council, 2450th Council Session External Relations Brussels, 30 September 2002,

EU as an Actor in International Law - Handout 11 (Prof Talmon)

Council Doc. 12134/02 (Presse 279), pp. 9-10 [ILM 42 (2003), 240-241, also available at www.consilium.europa.eu/ueDocs/cms Data/docs/pressData/en/gena/72321.pdf]

# **Additional Reading**

- Groenleer, Martijn L.P./Van Schaik, Louise G, United We Stand? The European Union's International Actorness in the Cases of the International Criminal Court and the Kyoto Protocol, *Journal of Common Market Studies* 45 (2007), 969-998
- Johansen, Robert C., The Impact of US Policy toward the International Criminal Court on the Prevention of Genocide, War Crimes, and Crimes Against Humanity, *Human Rights Quarterly* 28/2 (2006), 301-331