

# SOCIOLOGICAL THEORY


*Michaelmas 2024*

Dr Michael Biggs

Theoretical Perspectives

## **5. Social integration**

[http://users.ox.ac.uk/~sfos0060/  
SociologicalTheory.shtml](http://users.ox.ac.uk/~sfos0060/SociologicalTheory.shtml)



# Methodological holism

- Durkheim (1895/1901): treat social facts as things
  - ‘manners of acting or thinking ... capable of exercising a coercive influence on the consciousness of individuals’
  - ‘not naturally penetrable by the understanding’
  - contrast Weber!






Statistics (1820s—) as  
new way of seeing

- *rate of crime or suicide is stable*

André-Michel Guerry,  
*Essai sur la statistique morale  
de la France (1833)*



# Durkheim's *Suicide* (1897)

Suicide is a social fact

- *any* act where the individual willingly dies, including self-sacrifice
- understanding intentions is irrelevant; actors are unaware of the force of society

‘At any given moment the moral constitution of society establishes the contingent of voluntary deaths. There is, therefore, for each people a collective force of a definite amount of energy, impelling men to self-destruction. The victim’s acts[,] which at first seem to express only his personal temperament[,] are really the supplement and prolongation of a social condition which they express externally.’

—instead use statistics

# Social integration

## Integration

1. the extent to which people *interact/associate* with each other—social density
2. the extent to which people *identify* with something beyond their individual selves

## Regulation

- the extent to which society constrains our (boundless) natural appetites  
(Separable?)

Modernity = reduced integration/regulation


	Integration	Regulation
<i>high</i>	<u>altruistic</u> suicide	<u>fatalistic</u> suicide
<i>low</i>	<u>egoistic</u> suicide	<u>anomic</u> suicide

# Style of explanation: egoistic suicide

Suicide rate:

- Jewish < Catholic < Protestant
  - Protestantism allows 'free inquiry'; it emphasizes 'religious individualism' (*not* explicit doctrine regarding suicide)  
[society promoting the right to die!]
- married < unmarried
- falls during wars and political turmoil

# Overall age standardized suicide mortality rates by sex 1861–2007



(Thomas & Gunnell 2010)



# Problems

## I. Statistics aggregate interpretations (Atkinson 1978)

- the death of Durkheim's friend as 'a miserable and tragic accident'
- suicide rates rose in the 19th century, when secular authorities took over recording
- *BUT* cross-national patterns across Europe have remained stable for over a century; persist after emigration; confirmed within Prussia (Becker & Woessmann 2018)

## 2. How to avoid mystical holism?

- where is “society”—nation, religion, family, institution?
- contextual effect: individual  $i$ 's outcome depends on average characteristics of all other individuals in the unit, after accounting for  $i$ 's characteristic (Blau 1960)
  - e.g. risk of suicide lower where religious % is higher, regardless of your own religion (Tubergen et al. 2005)



# Emergent properties

- Macro structures can emerge from the interaction of individuals at micro level
- Conway's life: cellular automata (cell = 1 or 0) with 4 simple rules where  $cell_{t+1} = f(cell_t; \text{sum of adjacent cells}_t)$

		<i>sum of adjacent<sub>t</sub></i>				
		0	1	2	3	4+
<i>cell<sub>t</sub></i>	0	0	0	0	1	0
	1	0	0	1	1	0

- flying “glider” is emergent property
- macro property
  - shape created—but not predicted!—by cellular rules
  - does the shape “determine” individual cells (à la Durkheim)?

=> Problems lecture I



# Rediscovering integration

Social capital: ‘networks, norms, and social trust that facilitate coordination and cooperation for mutual benefit’ (Putnam 2000)

Collective efficacy: ‘social cohesion combined with shared expectations for social control’ (Sampson 2012)

1. ‘People in this neighborhood can be trusted’ Agree/disagree

2. ‘How likely could your neighbors be counted on to do something if ... happened?’

Variation across Chicago neighbourhoods helps explain—controlling for poverty—

- health, e.g. birth weight
- altruism—lost letter experiment
- crime




Figure 5.5 Similar collective efficacy–violence link by city

**But** less so for London (Sutherland, Brunton-Smith, & Jackson 2013)


# How to explain integration

Collective efficacy reduced by (Sampson 2012)

- poverty
- crime—circularity!
- residential instability
- ethnic heterogeneity (Putnam 2007)

Suggestion that cross-sectional variation persists over time—decades (Sampson 2012), centuries (Putnam 1993)


# Is integration the inverse of inequality? (Wilkinson 1996)



# Summary

- Integration (or social capital or collective efficacy) focuses on individuals' social interactions and emotional attachment to something larger
- Integration used to explain
  - individual outcomes: suicide, crime, health, altruism, voting—even after accounting individual characteristics
  - aggregate outcomes: political performance, even economic growth
- Persistent concern that contemporary societies are “disintegrating”





# Questions

- Is social integration possible without shared values?
- Can “social capital” explain anything?
- What is “social cohesion” and how can it be measured?
- ‘The success of Oxbridge is due primarily to the social integration provided by the college system.’ Discuss.
- How can “social capital” be measured?
- ‘Society is not the mere sum of individuals, but the system formed by their association represents a specific reality which has its own characteristics’ (Durkheim). Discuss.

# References

- Emile Durkheim, *The Rules of the Sociological Method* (1895/1901)
- Emile Durkheim, *Suicide: Study in Sociology* (1897)
- J. Maxwell Atkinson, *Discovering Suicide: Studies in the Social Organization of Sudden Death* (1978)
- Sasha O. Becker & Ludger Woessmann ‘Social cohesion, religious beliefs, and the effect of Protestantism on suicide’, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 98 (2016)
- Kyla Thomas & David Gunnell, ‘Suicide in England and Wales 1861–2007: A Time-Trends Analysis’, *International Journal of Epidemiology* 39 (2010)
- Peter M. Blau, ‘Structural Effects’, *American Sociological Review* 25 (1960)
- Robert D. Putnam, *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy* (1993)
- Robert D. Putnam, *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community* (2000)
- Robert D. Putnam, “‘E Pluribus Unum: Diversity and Community in the Twenty-First Century’, *Scandinavian Political Studies* 30 (2007)
- Robert J. Sampson, *Great American City: Chicago and the Enduring Neighborhood Effect* (2012)
- Robert J. Sampson & Per-Olof H. Wikström, ‘The Social Order of Violence in Chicago and Stockholm Neighborhoods: A Comparative Inquiry’, Stathis N. Kalyvas, Ian Shapiro, & Tarek Masoud (eds), *Order, Conflict, and Violence* (2008)
- Alex Sutherland, Ian Brunton-Smith, & Jonathan Jackson, ‘Collective Efficacy: Deprivation and Violence in London’, *British Journal of Criminology* 53 (2013)
- Frank van Tubergen, Manfred te Grotenhuis, & Wout Ultee, ‘Denomination, Religious Context, and Suicide: Neo-Durkheimian Multilevel Explanations Tested with Individual and Contextual Data’, *American Journal of Sociology* 111 (2005)
- Richard G. Wilkinson, *Unhealthy Societies: The Afflictions of Inequality* (1996)